We reported the first finds of late blight in Wisconsin potato fields yesterday in Barron and Adams Counties. There is an additional report out of Portage County as of this morning. It is possible that there was aerial movement and rain deposition of the late blight pathogen approximately one week to ten days ago (based on lesion status). Much of Wisconsin received isolated storm systems out of the west that have sporadically provided precious rainfall. As such, a large geographical area of Wisconsin is potentially at risk for late blight.

Our Blitecast forecasting tool indicated Disease Severity Values well above the threshold of 18 for just about all of Wisconsin’s potato crop (as of 31 July). Late emerging potatoes in the Hancock area do not yet have values over 18, however, we know the pathogen is present in the area, trumping the tool. At this time, potatoes should be treated with late blight specific fungicides plus a base protectant of chlorothalonil or mancozeb (or metiram) on a 7 day schedule. Fields with positive detects should be considered separately – with crop destruction for heavily infected plants and a more intensive 5 day spray schedule for the remaining plants in the field. A list of fungicides with registrations for late blight control was included in yesterday’s newsletter supplement and can be accessed at my website: http://www.plantpath.wisc.edu/wivegdis/.

Keep in mind the season long label limitations for chlorothalonil (16 lb a.i. for long season WI potatoes, 24c special local needs registration). Mancozeb is also a good tank mix partner and base protectant at this point in the season. In the Hancock potato early blight trials of the past 3 years and in several years of Dr. Walt Stevenson’s trialing at the same site prior to 2009, tank
mixes with mancozeb provided numerically higher yield and lower disease than similar programs with chlorothalonil in late season sprays.

Again, we don’t yet know the genotype(s) of the late blight pathogen here in Wisconsin, but we should have this data in the next day or so and we will share it in this newsletter outlet.

In order to help better understand the epidemic at hand, please submit samples to my lab or work through your county agent and request that they send to me for genotyping. All we need to know is the county of sample origin, we do not need to have specific field or grower information associated with the sample. Identification of genotype at the county level would be very helpful in improving our understanding of this epidemic and potential future risks. Lab address is: Amanda Gevens, 1630 Linden Dr, Room 689, Plant Pathology Dept., University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706. Please send infected leaves in a slightly inflated ziplock bag with no paper towel. Overnight shipping is best.

Current P-Day (Early Blight) and Severity Value (Late Blight) Accumulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Planted</th>
<th>50% Emergence</th>
<th>P-Day Cumulative</th>
<th>DSV Cumulative</th>
<th>Calculation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antigo Area</td>
<td>Early 5/1</td>
<td>5/30</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid 5/10</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late 6/1</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Marsh Area</td>
<td>Early 4/3</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid 4/15</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late 4/30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hancock Area</td>
<td>Early 4/1</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid 4/15</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late 5/1</td>
<td>5/17</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plover Area</td>
<td>Early 4/3</td>
<td>5/17</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid 4/19</td>
<td>5/18</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late 5/1</td>
<td>5/27</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7/30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P-Days and Early Blight:** All plantings of potatoes in WI have P-Day values exceeding the threshold of 300 of this time. Fungicides for early blight control should be applied on all cultivars of potato at this time. An accumulation of 300 P-Day values indicates a time at which early blight is favored and first infection may occur. I have seen classic, bull’s eye dark brown early blight lesions in lower potato canopies, as well as smaller flecked lesions with slight bull’s eye patterning on upper canopies.

**DSVs and Late Blight:** All potato plantings in Wisconsin, with the exception of late emerging potatoes in the Hancock area, have exceeded the threshold with 20-33 DSVs. An
accumulated DSV of 18 indicates time to initiate fungicide applications for late blight control. While this season has generally been hot and dry, isolated storms have been dropping precipitation across several WI regions creating conditions favorable for disease.

In addition to WI, this past week there were a few new late blight reports from MA (tomato), NH (potato), NY (tomato), PA (tomato), and VT (tomato). To date this production year, late blight has been reported in CA, CT, FL, MA, ME, NC, NH, NJ, NY, PA, VA, VT, and WI. The website: http://www.usablight.org/ indicates location of positive reports of late blight in the U.S. and provides further information on disease characteristics and management.

**Cucurbit Downy Mildew:** has not been identified in Wisconsin at this time in commercial fields, home gardens, or our sentinel monitoring plots. Several states have reported cucurbit downy mildew this season across a wide range of cucurbit hosts in AL, CT, DE, FL, GA, IN, LA, MD, MI, NC, NJ, NY, OH, PA, SC, VA, and Ontario Canada. **The newest reports within the past 7 days have been primarily on cucumber with closest detects in northwestern IN (South Bend area) on cucumber.** I will be keeping tabs on disease reports in the region as it seems the disease is rounding Lake Michigan and will provide updates in this newsletter. No forecasted risk of movement of spores from states reporting detects to Wisconsin at this time. Disease forecaster, Tom Keever of North Carolina State University reports, “HIGH Risk for cucurbits in AL / GA / FL panhandle. Moderate Risk in a broad swath along the Eastern Seaboard into the lower Lakes ... from northern GA and SC through the mid-Atlantic states into central and western NY, southern ON, eastern MI, northern OH, and northwest PA. Low Risk for southwest MI / northern IN, far southeast ON, the DelMarVa peninsula into NJ / extreme eastern PA, and central and southern FL. Minimal Risk to cucurbits otherwise...” The website: http://cdm.ipmpipe.org/ offers up to date reports of cucurbit downy mildew and disease forecasting information.

For further information on any fungicides that may be mentioned in this newsletter, please see the 2012 Commercial Vegetable Production in Wisconsin Guide A3422. An online pdf can be found at the link below or a hard copy can be ordered through the UWEX Learning Store. http://learningstore.uwex.edu/assets/pdfs/A3422.PDF

Yiqun Weng – Research Geneticist, Vegetable Crops Research Unit, Horticulture Department, University of Wisconsin - Madison, 608-262-0028, Email: yiqun.weng@ars.usda.gov; USDA-ARS/UW Cucumber Improvement Program Webpage: http://cucumber.vcru.wisc.edu/

**Why are my cucumbers so bitter this year?** The bitter flavor in cucumber is caused by a compound called cucurbitacin which is commonly influenced by heat or water stress.

The cucumber is a member of the large cucurbit family that also includes muskmelon, squash, pumpkin, and watermelon. All cucurbit plants naturally produce cucurbitacins, which can make a person sick when consumed in large quantities. There are different kinds of cucurbitacins. Cucurbitacin B and cucurbitacin C are the main compounds which cause bitterness in cucumber varieties grown in the U.S. Wild cucumbers, which are extremely bitter, may contain a number of other related compounds. Cucurbitacin can be present in all parts of a plant including the stem, foliage and fruit. Bitterness does not accumulate uniformly. It may vary from fruit to fruit on the
same plant, as well as within individual fruits. In the fruit, bitterness is found in or just under the skin but not deep in the fleshy portion. The compounds are in general more concentrated at the stem end than at the blossom end of the fruit.

Most modern commercial cucumbers are bitterfree. However, because accumulation of cucurbitacin is heavily influenced by growing conditions, you may still get bitter cucumbers, especially when the cucumbers are under stress. With the extended long, hot and dry summer of this year, bitter cucumbers may become a concern. Understanding what causes bitter cucumber can help in preventing the unwanted condition.

**Genetics:** It is known that the bitterness in cucumber is controlled by a few genes. Different varieties of cucumbers vary widely in their tendency to be bitter. Most recent commercial pickling cucumber hybrids or slicers are bitterfree. For home gardeners, you may choose cultivars which historically have little or no record of bitterness developing in the fruit. Two such examples are ‘Ashley’ and ‘Lemon’ cucumbers. One additional benefit of bitterfree cucumbers is that these plants are also resistant to the bacterial wilt disease. The causal pathogen of bacterial wilt is spread primarily by cucumber beetles, which are apparently attracted by cucurbitacins in the plant. Bitterfree cucumbers have less cucurbitacins and are less attractive to cucumber beetles, thus reducing the incidence of bacterial wilt.

**Temperature:** Heat stress is one of the most common reasons why a cucumber is bitter. If a plant is stressed due to heat it may start producing bitter cucumbers. If the temperature fluctuates dramatically from one extreme to another over an extended period of time, the fruits are more likely to become bitter. You may also expect bitter cucumbers during a cool growing season due to cold stress. So for home gardeners, use best practices to grow your cucumber. Keep cucumbers in an even temperature. Plant the cucumber so that it gets the right kind of sun for your climate (sunnier areas in cool climates, morning and afternoon sun only in hotter climates).

**Water:** Cucumber is a tropical crop, and requires a lot of water to grow. Your cucumbers will more likely taste bitter when the plants are under water stress. Often the cucumber tends to turn bitter most frequently at the end of the summer when it's hot and there's a drought drying out your plants. When a cucumber goes through alternating periods of drought and overwatering, it will also start producing bitter fruits. So water your plants evenly and regularly, especially in times of drought and you should have better results.

**What can you do to reduce the bitter taste if you do want the cucumber in your salad?** You can chop off the ends and peel off the skin. Peel more deeply at the stem end, since this is where bitter compounds accumulate most deeply. Your cucumber might still be tasty and edible underneath.
Field level evaluation of ESN® on potato (written by Matt Ruark and Mack Naber, Associate Research Specialist in Soil Science): As part of our Conservation Innovation Grant (CIG) that was funded through the NRCS, we have been conducting half-pivot field trials to evaluate the benefit of controlled release polymer coated urea (PCU), specifically ESN®. The half-pivot trial on potato had two treatments:

- PCU based: 275 lb/ac of N applied as 20 lb/ac of N as starter and 77 lb-N/ac 32% UAN, 132 lb-N/ac as ESN® and 46 lb-N/ac as urea applied at emergence
- Conventional application: 275 lb/ac of N applied as 20 lb/ac of N as starter and the remaining N applied over four application timings

Petiole nitrate concentrations have been monitored during the 2012 growing season. As previously reported, petiole nitrate concentrations were not different between the treatments at 30 and 45 days after emergence (DAE). At 60 DAE, the conventional application had received a total of 254 lb/ac of N and had an average petiole nitrate concentration of 0.56% while the PCU based application had an average petiole nitrate concentration of 0.50%. These concentrations are both less than the optimum nitrate concentration range at 60 DAE (0.8 to 1.1 at 60 DAE). This highlights an important issue this year when interpreting petiole nitrate concentrations. In a previous email, the issue was raised on how to interpret petiole nitrate concentrations when potatoes were developmentally advanced. An appropriate method would be to manage N toward the high end of the optimum range, or manage nitrate concentrations in the optimum range from a “younger” DAE (e.g. if you are at 60 DAE, use the 50 DAE optimum range as a guide). But environmental factors affect petiole nitrate concentrations as well. For example, it has been shown that time of day is important to interpretation, as warmer temperatures typically cause nitrate concentrations to increase. However, above 90°F, hot conditions can cause a decrease in petiole nitrate concentrations, especially if irrigation has not occurred that day. So we have two factors that can confound our ability to interpret petiole nitrate concentrations this year – advanced plant development and prolonged daily temperatures above 90°F. After petiole sampling at 60 DAE concentrations, the conventional practice had 21 lb/ac of N applied. Then at 75 DAE, the petiole nitrate concentration for the conventional application increased to 1.24%, and the PCU based application also increased to 0.84%. So, two things likely happened here: (1) the applied N (and the N conserved in the polymer-coating) supplied N to the potato and increased petiole concentrations and (2) slightly cooler temperatures caused petiole concentrations to be closer to “typical” growing conditions – which is preferable for interpretation. The optimum range at 70 DAE is 0.5 to 0.8, so both halves of the pivot are above the optimum range, which is ideal for management this year. So, based on petiole sampling as an indicator of the N status of the growing potato crop, ESN has performed similar to conventional. If this trend translates into similar yields, then this would show that use of ESN would reduce the need for application of N after emergence. Yields will be collected from both half-pivots at the end of the year.
Topdressing dry fertilizer and risk of fertilizer burn (written by Matt Ruark): There is always a risk of leaf burning when applying nitrogen fertilizer to a standing crop. However there are some management options to follow to minimize the risk of fertilizer burn. If available, apply the fertilizer (liquid or dry) with a drop nozzle between rows. This obviously minimizes the contact between the fertilizer and plant tissue. Dry fertilizers have less potential for burning leaf tissue of a standing crop compared to liquid fertilizers, but there are situations where burning can occur. Do not apply dry fertilizer when the foliage is damp or wet. This can cause the granules to stick to the plant tissue. If the dew or moisture causes the granule to dissolve this creates a concentrated area with a high salt concentration, which leads to the burning. Also, make sure the dry fertilizer has not accumulated moisture. Wet granules will also stick to leaf tissue, and cause burn quickly (Figure 1A). In general, urea will have less burning impact compared to ammonium fertilizers (ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, UAN solutions) since urea has to be converted to ammonium fertilizer first, providing more opportunity for irrigation or rainfall to wash the fertilizer off of the leaf tissue.

If applying large applications of UAN, immediately irrigate or apply before rainfall to wash the fertilizer off of the leaves. This will minimize any burning effects. For corn, the rule of thumb is not to apply UAN after the V7 leaf stage in order to avoid burning. Figure 1B shows the result of a foliar application of 28% UAN (10 gal/ac or 30 lb/ac of N) on corn at the V8 growth stage (Arlington Research Station), which is moderate to severe leaf burn. No rainfall occurred for one week after application. However, new leaf development occurred with no burn symptoms. Application of UAN to corn earlier in the growing season will have less of an impact on burning, and it give the plant time to recover without a set-back in yield. Also, further dilution of UAN would results in less severe symptoms. If applying N in-season as a “rescue” application, consider the relative benefit of the application to the potential damage to the plant. It is possible that any benefit of N application, if applied incorrectly, could cause damage that would negate any yield benefit.

Figure 1. A. Fertilizer burn resulting from “damp” ammonium nitrate applied to snap bean at 100 lb/ac broadcast applied above the canopy. B. Corn canopy after foliar application of 28% UAN on July 12, 2011 (picture taken July 19, 2011).
Colorado potato beetle (CPB): Emergence of the 2nd generation of Colorado potato beetle (CPB) seems to have increased in the past 7-10 days in portions of central Wisconsin with new egg laying and the appearance of early to mid-stage larvae. We were anticipating the bulk of the 2nd generation summer adult emergence in early to mid-July, but populations remained quiescent (resting during unfavorable conditions) for around 2 weeks. At the Hancock Agricultural Research Station, populations of adult insects remained in the soil for approximately 2.5 weeks longer than expected, likely due to the excess heat earlier in the month. This phenomenon is not unexpected for the CPB, and this behavior has been reported previously in other regions of the US with higher summertime temperatures. The physiology of quiescence in the Colorado potato beetle has been studied previously, and the two most important factors influencing this condition in young ‘teneral’ adults is temperature and host quality. Specifically, higher temperatures reduce the beetle's sensitivity to photoperiod, so that under warmer temperatures a period of ‘resting’, or quiescence can occur.

Corn earworm: Recent flights of the corn earworm continue to be detected using the pheromone-baited, Hartstack Traps’ around the state. As reported by the Wisconsin DATCP’s, Pest Bulletin (http://datcpservices.wisconsin.gov/pb/), adult moth captures ranging between 50-150 have been registered in many locations throughout southern and central portions of the state. And trap captures exceeding 10 or more moths for two consecutive nights indicates the need for protective treatment of silking sweet corn fields.

Soybean aphid: Over the past decade, soybean aphid populations have traditionally increased substantially in size during mid to late July and into early August. And it is at this time that weekly scouting of soybeans is recommended for soybean producers to avoid direct damage. In soybeans, an insecticide application is recommended if the average number of aphids exceeds 250 per plant with an increasing population anticipated. Very low, or even declining populations, have been for late July this season. Populations at the Arlington Agricultural Experiment Station have remained quite low averaging < 2.5 aphids / plant. To date, a large flight of this insect is not anticipated to occur, and this event seems to happen after several (local) soybean fields have reached or exceeded the established action threshold. However, other competent aphid species (aphids capable of transmitting several non-persistent viruses) remain active in the state and these data can be obtained from the North Central Regional Soybean Suction Trap Network (http://www.ncipmc.org/traps/).

For cucurbit, snap bean, pepper, and potato growers, remember that these other aphid flights have posed an elevated risk for transmission of plant viruses including alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV), cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), watermelon mosaic virus (WMV), and zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV), and potato virus Y (PVY). Aphids transmit these viruses in a non-persistent, stylet-borne manner, which means that viruses are acquired from infected plants and spread to other plants within only a matter of seconds. Specifically, the aphids carry the virus particles on the very tip of their mouthparts (stylets), and release these particles almost
immediately after they probe a potential host plant in an effort to feed. These aphids spread CMV into pepper and other vegetable crops after they acquire the pathogens from other local inoculum sources (e.g. forage crops or non-crop weeds). In potato, aphids most often acquire PVY from infected plants already present in the field. Applications of insecticides to kill these aphids before they spread these viruses to other plants do not often work effectively. In high-value vegetable crops, management of viruses can be achieved through field sanitation, clean seed, and prophylactic, mineral oil sprays to interfere with aphid probing. The performance of oil applications is directly related to the extent of the spray coverage. In the case of virus control, spray application to all leaf surfaces is essential. This is because winged aphids land on the upper leaf surface then immediately probe to determine if the plant is a host or non-host. If the plant is a host the aphid walks to the under leaf surface where it begins to feed; if the plant is a non-host it flies off in search of a host. Thorough coverage of all leaf surfaces is necessary for optimum performance and can be achieved by maintaining a constant sprayer speed, checking nozzles for wear, avoiding excess drift at higher wind speeds (e.g. > 12 mph), and using appropriate pressures and application gallonage.

Jed Colquhoun, Professor of Weed Science, UW-Madison, Department of Horticulture, 333 Horticulture, Phone: 608-890-0980, E-mail: colquhoun@wisc.edu.

Herbicides, feed crops and vegetables: The drought in parts of Wisconsin and neighboring states has stimulated an interest and some discussion on whether bypassed vegetable crops or plant materials left after harvest could be fed to animals, and whether feed crops could be planted as a double-crop after vegetable harvest. There are obviously many aspects to consider in such an approach – production and transport cost, bypass considerations, feed safety, season length, etc. In terms of herbicides, there are two key pieces of information that should also be considered:

1. If considering a bypassed vegetable field for feed, do the labels for the herbicides (and other pesticides) used in that crop allow the crop plant material to be harvested for feed or grazed? If so, what is the pre-harvest interval for such uses? Pesticide tolerances are required for plant materials that are fed to animals not only to protect the animals but also to reduce the risk of residues in the animal products finished for human consumption. In many cases, crops that are not typically used for animal feed or grazed have no animal feed tolerance established and the crop cannot be fed to animals. This will be reflected in label language such as: “do not graze or feed forage from treated areas or possible illegal residues may result”.

2. If considering double-cropping after vegetables with a feed crop, do the labels for herbicides used in prior crops on that ground allow rotation to the feed crop of choice? This can be very challenging with many herbicide-crop combinations, where crop rotation intervals are based not only on the subsequent crop injury risk but also the potential for illegal herbicide residue in the following crop. Also, keep in mind that precipitation has been minimal in many areas, thus decreasing herbicide breakdown and increasing the potential for carryover.